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Agile & Architecture? Oil & Water?

• Paradox
• Oxymoron
• Conflict
• Incompatibility

Outline

• Agility??
• Software architecture?
• A story
• Seven viewpoints on a single problem
• The zipper model
• A clash of two cultures
• Summary
Agility

• A definition
  – Agility is the ability to both create and respond to change in order to profit in a turbulent business environment.
    Jim Highsmith (2002)

• Characteristics
  – Iterative and incremental
  – Small release
  – Collocation
  – Release plan/ feature backlog
  – Iteration plan/task backlog
    Sanjiv Augustine (2004)

Agile Values: the Agile Manifesto

We have come to value:
• Individuals and interactions over process and tools,
• Working software over comprehensive documents,
• Customer collaboration over contract negotiation,
• Responding to change over following a plan.
  That is, while there is value in the items on the right, we value the items on the left more

Source: http://www.agilemanifesto.org/
Getting at the Essence of Agility

- Software development is a knowledge activity
  - Not production, manufacturing, administration...
- The “machines” are humans
- Dealing with uncertainty, unknowns, fear, distrust
- Feedback loop ->
  - reflect on business, requirements, risks, process, people, technology
- Communication and collaboration ->
  - Building trust

Named Agile Methods

- XP = eXtreme Programming   (K. Beck)
- SCRUM                    (K. Schwaber, J. Sutherland)
- Adaptive development process (J. Highsmith)
- Lean Software Development (M.&T. Poppendieck)
- Crystal                  (A. Cockburn)
- Feature Driven Development (S. Palmer)
- Agile Unified Process     (S. Ambler)
- etc., etc...
Different methods for different issues

XP practices
Scrum management
Lean principles

Software Architecture: A Definition

It’s the hard stuff

M.Fowler, cited by J. Highsmith
Software Architecture: A Definition

Software architecture encompasses the significant decisions about
• the organization of a software system,
• the selection of the structural elements and their interfaces by which the system is composed together with their behavior as specified in the collaboration among those elements,
• the composition of these elements into progressively larger subsystems,

Grady Booch, Philippe Kruchten, Rich Reitman, Kurt Bittner; Rational, circa 1995 (derived from Mary Shaw)

Software Architecture (cont.)

• the architectural style that guides this organization, these elements and their interfaces, their collaborations, and their composition.

Software architecture is not only concerned with structure and behavior, but also with usage, functionality, performance, resilience, reuse, comprehensibility, economic and technological constraints and tradeoffs, and aesthetics.
Software architecture...

- ... is a part of Design
  - But not all design is architecture
  - ... which part of design, then?

- ... includes Structure, and much more
  - behaviour, style, tools & language

- ... includes Infrastructure, and much more

- ... is part of System architecture

Perceived Tensions
Agility- Architecture

- Architecture = Big Up-Front Design
- Architecture = massive documentation
- Role of the architect
- Low perceived or visible value of architecture

- Loss of rigour, focus on details, too early
- Disenfranchisement
- Quality attribute not reducible to stories

Hazrati, 2008
Rendell, 2009
Blair et al. 2010, etc.
Perceived Tensions
Agility- Architecture

Adaptation versus Anticipation

Story of a failure

• Large re-engineering of a complex distributed world-wide system; 2 millions LOC in C, C++, Cobol and VB
• Multiple sites, dozens of data repositories, hundreds of users, 24 hours operation, mission-critical ($billions)
• xP+Scrum, 1-week iterations, 30 then up to 50 developers
• Rapid progress, early success, features are demo-able
• Direct access to “customer”, etc.
• A poster project for scalable agile development
Hitting the wall

- After 4½ months, difficulties to keep with the 1-week iterations
- Refactoring takes longer than one iteration
- Scrap and rework ratio increases dramatically
- No externally visible progress anymore
- Iterations stretched to 3 weeks
- Staff turn-over increases
- Project comes to a halt
- Lots of code, no clear architecture, no obvious way forward

Arch vs. Ag: 7 Issues

1. Semantics
2. Scope
3. Lifecycle
4. Role
5. Description
6. Methods
7. Value & cost
Issues

1. Semantics
2. Scope
3. Lifecycle
4. Role
5. Description
6. Methods
7. Value & cost

Semantics

• What do we mean by “architecture”? 
Software Architecture: A Definition

Software architecture encompasses the significant decisions about
• the organization of a software system,
• the selection of the structural elements and their interfaces by which the system is composed together with their behavior as specified in the collaboration among those elements,
• the composition of these elements into progressively larger subsystems,

Grady Booch, Philippe Kruchten, Rich Reitman, Kurt Bittner; Rational, circa 1995 (derived from Mary Shaw)

Software Architecture (cont.)

• the architectural style that guides this organization, these elements and their interfaces, their collaborations, and their composition.

Software architecture is not only concerned with structure and behavior, but also with usage, functionality, performance, resilience, reuse, comprehensibility, economic and technological constraints and tradeoffs, and aesthetics.
Architecture = design decisions

Issues

1. Semantics
2. Scope
3. Lifecycle
4. Role
5. Description
6. Methods
7. Value & cost
Scope

• How much architecture “stuff” do you really need?

• It depends...

• It depends on your context

Environment ➔ Context ➔ Practice

• Environment Conditions  (organization)  
  Drive/constrain

• Context Attributes  (software project)  
  Drive

• Practices  (actual process)
Environmental conditions

- Business domain
  - E-commerce
  - Manufacturing
  - Automotive
  - Aerospace
- Number of instances
  - One, A dozen, Millions, SaaS,…
- Maturity of organization
  - Small start up
  - Mid size software Dev. Co.
  - Large system integrator
  +... collective experience

In general, environmental conditions are proper to the organization, and common to several projects

Environmental conditions (cont.)

- Level of innovation
  - New product, never been done… or
  - Old classic, just better, faster, larger, …
- Culture
  - Communication
  - Trust
  - Shared mental models
  - Education (?)
Context attributes affecting practices

1. Size
2. Criticality
3. Age of system
4. Rate of change
5. Business model
6. Stable architecture
7. Team distribution
8. Governance

Issues

1. Semantics
2. Scope
3. Lifecycle
4. Role
5. Description
6. Methods
7. Value & cost
Lifecycle

• When does architectural activities take place?
• The evil of “BUFD” = Big Up-Front Design
• “Defer decisions to the last responsible moment”
• YAGNI = You Ain’t Gonna Need It

• Refactor!

Architectural Effort During the Lifecycle

Inception | Elaboration | Construction | Transition

Majority of architectural design activities
An architectural iteration focuses in putting in place major architectural elements, resulting in a baseline architectural prototype at the end of elaboration.
Team Structure over Time (Very Large)

Teams using agile development practices
Issues

1. Semantics
2. Scope
3. Lifecycle
4. Role
5. Description
6. Methods
7. Value & cost

Architect

Yes, but what flavour? Which tribe?

- System architect
- Enterprise architect
- Business architect
- Software architect
- Data architect
- Information architect
- Solution architect
- Application architect
New tribe – Agile Architect?

• A. Johnston defines the agile architect, but it does not seem to be any different from a software architect before agile methods came in.
• Combination of
  – Visionary - Shaper
  – Designer – making choices
  – Communicator – between multiple parties
  – Troubleshooter
  – Herald – window of the project
  – Janitor – cleaning up behind the PM and the developers

Functions of the software architect

**Definition of the architecture**

- Architecture definition
- Technology selection
- Architectural evaluation
- Management of non functional requirements
- Architecture collaboration

**Delivery of the architecture**

- *Ownership of the big picture*
- *Leadership*
- *Coaching and mentoring*
- *Design, development and Testing*
- Quality assurance

*Brown 2010*
## Architect as Service Provider?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Weak guidance</th>
<th>Service provider</th>
<th>Excessive guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Client orientation</td>
<td>“… as you wish”</td>
<td>Balances concerns</td>
<td>Client better change his view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>Ask client for concepts, design</td>
<td>Drives concept and design in close loops</td>
<td>Comes down from the mountain with a design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning</td>
<td>Wind wane</td>
<td>Turns feedback into improvements</td>
<td>Ignores feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change management</td>
<td>Let architecture grow, hope it will emerge</td>
<td>Organizes architecture change process</td>
<td>Defends architecture from change requests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical Support</td>
<td>Works as developer</td>
<td>Supports developer, give a hand at coding</td>
<td>Avoids developers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process</td>
<td>Avoids rules</td>
<td>Set up rules but help break them (or evolve them) when needed</td>
<td>Forbids rule breaking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adapted from Faber 2010*

## Two styles of software/system architects

- **Maker and Keeper of Big decisions**
  - Bring in technological changes
  - External collaboration
  - More requirements-facing
  - Gatekeeper
    - *Fowler: Architectus reloadus*

- **Mentor, Troubleshooter, and Prototyper**
  - Implements and try architecture
  - Intense internal collaboration
  - More code-facing
    - *Fowler: Architectus oryzus*

Only big new projects need both or separate people
Team Structure over Time (Very Large)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inception</th>
<th>Elaboration</th>
<th>Construction and Transition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial team</td>
<td>Architecture team</td>
<td>Management team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototyping team</td>
<td>Feature team 1</td>
<td>Management team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature team 2</td>
<td>Feature team 3</td>
<td>Feature team 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure team A</td>
<td>Infrastructure team B</td>
<td>Feature team 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>integration team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Reloadus and A. Oryzus ecological niches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inception</th>
<th>Elaboration</th>
<th>Construction and Transition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial team</td>
<td>Architecture team</td>
<td>Management team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototyping team</td>
<td>Feature team 1</td>
<td>Management team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature team 2</td>
<td>Feature team 3</td>
<td>Feature team 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure team A</td>
<td>Infrastructure team B</td>
<td>Feature team 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>integration team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Reloadus

A. Oryzus
A. *Reloadus* and A. *Oryzus* ecological niches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inception</th>
<th>Elaboration</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>and Transition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management team</td>
<td>Architecture team</td>
<td>Feature team 1</td>
<td>Feature team 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial team</td>
<td>Architecture team</td>
<td>Feature team 3</td>
<td>Infrastructure team A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototyping team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure team B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>integration team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Issues**

1. Semantics
2. Scope
3. Lifecycle
4. Role
5. Description
6. Methods
7. Value & cost
Boxology Issues

- General “message” or metaphor is OK, but...
- Fuzzy semantics:
  - What does a box denote?
    - Function, code, task, process, processor, data?
  - What does an arrow denote?
    - Data flow, control flow, semantic dependency, cabling?
- Diverging interpretation
- Many distinct concerns or issues addressed in one diagram
Of Views, Viewpoints and Models

Views are projections of a model for a particular stakeholder

Architectural description

- Metaphor (XP)
- Prototype (=walking skeleton)
- Software architecture document

- Use of UML?
- UML-based tools?
- Code?
Again, it depends on the context

1. Size
2. Criticality
3. Age of system
4. Rate of change
5. Business model
6. Stable architecture
7. Team distribution
8. Governance

Issues

1. Semantics
2. Scope
3. Lifecycle
4. Role
5. Description
6. Methods
7. Value & cost
Architectural design methods

- Many agile developers do not know (much) about architectural design
- Agile methods have no explicit guidance for architecture
  - Metaphor in XP
  - Technical activities in scrum
- Relate this to Semantics and Scope issue
- May have to get above the code level

Architectural Design

Source: Hofmeister, Kruchten, et al., 2005, 2007
Issues

1. Semantics
2. Scope
3. Lifecycle
4. Role
5. Description
6. Methods
7. Value & cost

Value and cost

• Architecture has no (or little) externally visible “customer value”
• Iteration planning (backlog) is driven by “customer value”
• Ergo: architectural activities are not given attention

• No BUFD & YAGNI & Refactor!
Value and cost

- Cost of development is not identical to value
- Trying to assess value and cost in monetary terms is hard and often leads to vain arguments

- Use “points” for cost and “utils” for value
- Use simple technique to give points and utils.

What’s in your backlog?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive Value</th>
<th>Invisible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visible Feature</td>
<td>Hidden, architectural feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Value</td>
<td>Visible defect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Planning

• From requirements derive:
  – Architectural requirements
  – Functional requirements

• Establish
  – Dependencies
  – Cost

• Plan interleaving:
  – Functional increments
  – Architectural increments

Weaving functional and architectural chunks
Benefits

• Gradual emergence of architecture
  – Deliberate, not accidental
• Validation of architecture with actual functionality
• Early enough to support development
  – Time spacing

• Not just BUFD
• No YAGNI effect

Iterations and Phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inception</th>
<th>Elaboration</th>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Transition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Iteration</td>
<td>Architect Iteration</td>
<td>Architect Iteration</td>
<td>Devel Iteration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Risk mitigation!

Internal Releases with focus on architecture

Releases with main focus on features

An architectural iteration focuses in putting in place major architectural elements, resulting in a baseline architectural prototype at the end of elaboration.
Agility as a Culture

Culture
Beliefs, Norms

Values
Reflect beliefs

Behaviours
Reflect values

R. Thomsett 2007

Agility and Architecture as Cultures

Culture
Beliefs, Norms

Values
Reflect beliefs

Behaviours
Reflect values

R. Thomsett 2007
Stages

• Ethnocentrism
  – Denial
  – Defense

• Ethnorelativism
  – Acceptance
  – Integration

Learn from the “other” culture

• Agilists
  – Exploit architecture to scale up
  – Exploit architecture to partition the work
  – Exploit architecture to communicate
  – ...

• Architects
  – Exploit iterations to experiment
  – Exploit functionality to assess architecture
  – Exploit growing system to prune (KISS), keep it lean
  – ...
RecommendaIons

• Understand your context
  – How much architecture?

• Define architecture
  – Meaning
  – Boundaries
  – Responsibility
  – Tactics (methods)
  – Representation

Context:
1. Semantics
2. Scope
3. Lifecycle
4. Role
5. Description
6. Methods
7. Value & cost

RecommendaIons

• No ivory tower
  – Architect is one of us (not one of “them”)
  – Define an “Architecture owner” (as a Product owner)
  – Make architecture visible, at all time

• Build early an evolutionary architectural prototype
  – Constantly watch for architecturally significant requirements
  – Use iterations to evolve, refine
  – Understand when to freeze this architecture (architectural stability)

• Weave functional aspects with architectural (technical) aspects (“zipper”)
Recommendations

- Do not jump on a (labeled) set of agile practices
  - Understand the essence of agility (why and how)
- Select agile practices for their own value
  - In your context, not in general
- Do not throw away all the good stuff you have

- Where do you really stand in this continuum?
  Adaptation versus Anticipation

Agility, revisited

Building up on Jim’s definition:

Agility is the ability of an organization to react and adapt to changes in its environment faster than the rate of these changes.
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