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Agile Software Development Model

- The main characteristics of agile development:
  - Flexibility
  - Minimalism
  - Collaboration
- Emphasizes rapid and flexible development
- Transforms the development process from being process-centric to human-centric
- Favors operating software over documentation
The Proposed Solution


2. Two phase review process
AAS: Brief Reminder

Abstract Architecture Specification (AAS)

• **An automatically generated short (4-6 pages) architecture document** aligned with Agile’s expectation for minimalism, flexibility and collaboration.

• Includes the most relevant and updated information regarding the proposed architecture

• Kept short by employing elevator speech concepts
Two Phase Agile Architecture Review

Concept Phase
- Project start
- Requirements are gathered & Backlog is prioritized
- DE contact assigned

Plan Phase / Sprint 0
- Project Architects produce AAS with support / help from DE / Architect
- "Architecture Envisioning"
- Phase 1 Review: Small group of DEs or other SME review AAS with team and feedback is provided

Build Phase / Sprint 1
- Other planning documents are generated by the project team
- Investment Review is conducted

Build Phase / Sprint N
- DE contact reviews at each sprint planning for architecture impacts and updates appropriate artifact

Phase 2 Review
Project conducts a cross BU review with several DEs
Two Phase Review Process – Phase 1
Initial Peer Review (during planning sprint)

AAS (Abstract Architecture Specification) Delivered

Feedback provided to project architects

AAS is a summary of the main principles of proposed architecture.

AAS is generated from the AAS tool

Participants:
- 2-3 DE/Chief Architects from the DE council
- 1 DE from the product’s BU
- 1 or 2 DEs MUST be from another BU

Propose:
1) Provide sanity check & general correctness
2) Provide multi-BU insight

Is the AAS ready for DE review?

No

Yes

Should there be a presentation to the DE Council?

No

Continue with planning and implementation

Yes

Present architecture to full DE Council and additional, selective SMEs

Participants:
- 2-3 Project team members & BU DE/Chief Architect

Propose:
1) To receive & incorporate peer feedback
2) Review for correctness & compliance to company standards
Two Phase Review Process – Phase 2
Cross Business Unit Review (prior to end of Planning Sprint)

Participants:
All Members from the team
The DE/Chief Architect of the BU
4-5 DE' from other Bus (NOTE: all DEs MAY participate)

Propose:
1) Ensure consistency in feedback received by teams in different BUs
2) Provide multi-BU insight
We observed and analyzed review processes for 90 projects:

- 48 based on previous review process & documents,
- 42 based on two-phase review process & documents
Two-Phase Review Process in Practice
Experience and Result

• Shortened “start of project to architecture approved”
  ○ “Versions” averaged 4.4 months versus 6.5,
  ○ “Releases” 6 months versus 7.7

• Reduced significant final review comments from an average of 7 to 3
  ○ The phase 1 review identified 15 projects where no phase 2 review was required
    ▶ Saving hundreds of staff hours of senior level participants over the course of a year

• Reduced the time required to conduct multi-BU reviews
  ○ From 120 minute typical to less than 90 typical action than the TLDS

• Teams reported that the process was less stressful
  ○ Even “enjoyable” because of ongoing interaction with senior members of the technical community
Some extended team members felt they now lacked some information that they received in the previous format

- Technical Publications, Field Support
- AAS contains less “tutorial and background” information than the TLDS.
Two Phase Review Process: The benefits

- Ongoing “mentoring” as part of architecture review process
- Collaborative and constructive review
- Project team (internal) review as a formal part of architecture review process
- Ongoing DE engagement simplifies and facilitates the communication among architects and reviewers
Questions?

Thank You