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Defective Software is not Secure

Common software defects are a principal cause of software security incidents.

- Over 90% of software security incidents are due to attackers exploiting known software defect types.¹

- Analysis of forty-five e-business applications showed that 70% of the security defects were design defects.²

Conclusion: there is no such thing as a poor quality secure system.

- CERT/CC
- "The Security of Applications: Not All Are Created Equal", by Andrew Jacquith.
Security Design Defects

Examples

- Failure to authorize and authenticate users
- Failure to validate user input
- Failure to encrypt and/or protect sensitive data

Everyday software “bugs” are also a major risk.

For example, a buffer overflow can cause system failure, or allow a hacker to take control of your system.

Many common defect types can produce a buffer overflow.

- declaration error
- logic errors in loop control or conditional expression
- failure to validate input
- interface specification error
The Software Quality Problem

Software quality is highly variable and generally poor in non-mission critical systems.

Widely-used operating systems and applications software are known to have more than 2 defects per KSLOC, or 2000+ defects per million SLOC.

If only 5% of these defects are potential security concerns, there are 100 vulnerabilities per million SLOC.
Software Practice and Quality

Software is the only modern technology that ignores quality until test. Typically, software engineers
• do not plan their own work
• race through requirements and design
• do the design while coding

These practices introduce volumes of defects.
• Experienced engineers inject a defect every 7 to 10 lines of code.
• For even moderate-sized systems, this amounts to thousands of defects.
• Most of these defects must be found in test.
• This usually takes about half of the development schedule.
The Problem with Testing

- Security Attack
- Hardware failure
- Configuration
- Resource contention
- Operator error
- Data error

Safe region = tested (shaded)
Unsafe region = untested (unshaded)
Principles of Software Engineering

Current software practice violates well understood principles of software engineering.

Examples of software engineering principles
• the need for accurate plans
• the importance of detailed, verifiable designs
• early defect removal
• effective inspections
• focus on quality throughout

Why are these principles not applied?
Principles Are Not Enough

Principles are easy to understand, but harder to follow.

To apply these principles in practice you also need
• a supportive infrastructure and environment
• an operational process (rules and steps) to put the principles into practice
• a measurement system to manage and control the result

Software engineers also need to be convinced of the benefits of disciplined software engineering methods.
Design Principles for Secure Applications

The principles for producing secure applications are also well known and easy to understand.

Examples
• Authorize and authenticate all users
• Mistrust all user input
• Encrypt sensitive data from login to logout
• Protect persistent data
What is the Issue?

Lack of understanding is not the issue.

A lack of data is not the issue. A vast amount of incident-specific and system-specific data exists.

Training is not the issue. Training is available for
• writing secure applications
• network administration

Support is not the issue. There are emergency response centers (including the CERT/CC), guidelines, checklists, best practices, etc.
More Is Needed

With all the information and resources available, why is security still an issue?

Maybe there is a need for more.
- an environment that fosters good practice
- operational processes based on engineering principles
- disciplined practitioners that adhere to these principles
- predictive measures
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The Team Software Process

The Team Software Process (TSP) is an operational process designed to support well-established principles of software engineering.

The principal objectives of the TSP are:
- help software engineering teams build quality products within cost and schedule constraints
- build teams quickly and reliably
- optimize team performance throughout a project
The Team Software Process -2

TSP incorporates best practices of software engineering in a single integrated package, e.g.

• team project management
• product quality management
• process management
• risk management
• software metrics

With TSP, software teams

• build detailed, accurate plans
• manage and track their commitments to within +/-10%
• produce near defect-free software with typically less than 0.1 defects/KSLOC
To use the TSP, software developers must first be trained in the Personal Software Process (PSP).

The PSP provides software developers with the skills and self-convincing evidence of the benefits of software engineering practice.

In using the PSP, software developers
• follow a defined and measured personal process
• plan every job before they do it
• gather time, size, and defect data as they work
• use these data to manage their personal work and ensure the quality of the products they produce
Software Quality with TSP

The quality management practices in TSP and PSP dramatically reduce the product defect content.

The following results are drawn from
- PSP training data on 298 software developers
- TSP data from 18 projects in four organizations

The results show the effect of TSP and PSP on
- process quality
- design time
- product quality
- system test duration
Process Quality Results

Pre-Compile Defect Yield

Program Number

Percentage of Pre-Compile Defects Removed Before Compile

- Mean Yield
- PSP Level Mean
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PSP Design Time Results

Time Invested Per (New and Changed) Line of Code

Mean Minutes Spent Per LOC

Program Number

Design
Code
Compile
Test
Defects Per KLOC Removed in Compile and Test

Mean Number of Defects Per KLOC

PSP Product Quality
PSP Productivity Results

Lines of (New and Changed) Code
Produced Per Hour of Total Development Time

![Graph showing Mean LOC/Hour and PSP Level Average over Program Numbers.](image)
TSP Quality Improvement -1

# of defects detected

Release # 6  Release # 7  Release # 8  Release # 9

PSP/TSP-trained

(Boeing Pilot #1)

Software Size

2.36X more Sloc count

75% lower defects
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TSP Quality Improvement -2

(Boeing Pilot #1)

System Test time

Release # 6: 32 days
Release # 7: 41 days
Release # 8: 28 days

2.36X more Sloc count
94% less time
4 days

Release # 9: PSP/TSP-trained
### TSP Project Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size Estimate</td>
<td>110,000 LOC</td>
<td>89,995 LOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effort Estimate</td>
<td>16,000 hours</td>
<td>14,711 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td>77 weeks</td>
<td>71 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Product Quality** *(Defects/KLOC removed in phase)*

- Integration: 1.0 → 0.2
- System Test: 0.1 → 0.4
- Field Trial: 0.0 → 0.02

### Benefits

- Quality levels improved 20 times over prior projects.
- Actual effort and schedule were within 8% of plan (early).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Without TSP</th>
<th>With TSP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average schedule deviation - range</td>
<td>27% to 112%</td>
<td>-8% to 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average effort deviation - range</td>
<td>17% to 85%</td>
<td>-8% to -4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance test product quality (defects/KLOC)</td>
<td>0.1* to 0.7</td>
<td>0.02 to 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System test savings (cost to system test 1000 LOC)</td>
<td>1 to 5 days</td>
<td>0.1 to 1 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of post-release defects per KLOC</td>
<td>0.2 to 1+</td>
<td>0 to 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This data (0.1 defects/KLOC in acceptance test) is from a CMM Level 5 organization.
TSP Results Summary -2

- **Average Schedule Deviation - Range**
  - Pre TSP/PSP vs. With TSP/PSP
  - Deviation ranges from -20% to 160%

- **Average Effort Deviation - Range**
  - Pre TSP/PSP vs. With TSP/PSP
  - Deviation ranges from -20% to 160%

- **Defects/KLOC in Acceptance Test - Range**
  - Pre TSP/PSP vs. With TSP/PSP
  - Defects range from 0 to 0.9 defects/KLOC

- **Post-Release Defects/KLOC - Range**
  - Pre TSP/PSP vs. With TSP/PSP
  - Defects range from 0 to 1.4 defects/KLOC
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The TSP provides a framework, a set of processes, and disciplined methods for producing quality software.

Software produced with TSP has one or two orders of magnitude fewer defects than current practice.

- 0.02 defects/KSLOC vs. 2 defects/KSLOC
- 20 defects per MSLOC vs. 2000 defects per MSLOC

If 5% of the defects are potential security holes, with TSP there would be 1 vulnerability per million SLOC.
TSP and Secure Systems -2

TSP also address the need for
• professional behavior
• supportive environment
• sound software engineering practice
• operational processes
• software metrics

With tailoring, TSP could be even more effective in this development domain.
TSP for Secure Systems is an applied research effort to enhance TSP for the secure systems domain.

Using design principles for secure applications, the TSP could be extended to incorporate

• secure design process
• secure implementation process
• secure review and inspection process
• secure test process
• security-related predictive measures
The goal of this effort is to develop a process that
• supports secure systems development practices
• predicts the likelihood of latent security defects
• can be dynamically tailored to respond to new threats

The TSP for secure systems project is planned for FY03 and will be a collaborative effort involving
• industry and government partners
• SEI/NSS program
• SEI/TSP initiative
A key element of the TSP for Secure Systems project will be pilot projects.

The pilot project will help in developing the specific technical solutions.
- design and implementation practices
- review methods and checklists
- tools

We are currently seeking organizations that are interested in participating.
Summary

TSP helps organizations establish a mature and disciplined software engineering practice that
• improves cost and schedule predictability
• reduces time to market
• produces high-quality, reliable software, with fewer security-related defects

The TSP for secure systems effort will build on these capabilities to create a mature process, with specific features for building secure systems.
For More Information

Visit the Software Engineering Institute web site at
www.sei.cmu.edu

Visit the TSP web site at
www.sei.cmu.edu/tsp

Contact SEI Customer Relations at 412-268-5800