

Software Assurance Competency Model

Thomas Hilburn, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University Mark Ardis, Stevens Institute of Technology Glenn Johnson, (ISC)²
Andrew Kornecki, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University Nancy R. Mead, Software Engineering Institute

March 2013

TECHNICAL NOTE CMU/SEI-2013-TN-004

CERT Program

http://www.sei.cmu.edu



Copyright

2013

Carnegie Mellon University

This material is based upon work funded and supported by Department of Homeland Security under Contract No. FA8721-05-C-0003 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center sponsored by the United States Department of Defense.

Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of Department of Homeland Security or the United States Department of Defense.

NO WARRANTY. THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution except as restricted below.

Internal use:* Permission to reproduce this material and to prepare derivative works from this material for internal use is granted, provided the copyright and "No Warranty" statements are included with all reproductions and derivative works.

External use:* This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other external and/or commercial use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at permission@sei.cmu.edu.

* These restrictions do not apply to U.S. government entities.

Carnegie Mellon®, CERT® are registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office by Carnegie Mellon University.

DM-0000284

Table of Contents

Ack	cknowledgments		v
Abs	tract		vii
1	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Purpose	1
	1.2	Background	1
2	SwA	Competency Model Features	3
	2.1	Terms and Definitions	3
	2.2	SwA Competency Levels	3
	2.3	SwA Knowledge, Skills, and Effectiveness	4
	2.4	Competency Designations	6
3	Exp	erience with the Model and Summary	11
Арр	endix	A: Relationship to the DHS Professional Competency Model	12
Арр	endix	B: SwA Draft Competency Model Review Result	14
Bibl	iograp	phy	32

List of Tables

Table 1: CorBoK Knowledge Areas and Competenc	ies	5
Table 2: Competency Attributes of Effectiveness		6
Table 3: SwA Competency Designations		7
Table 4: Proficiency Targets for the Software Assura	ance and Security Engineering Specialty Area	13
Table 5: Proficiency Targets for Various Software A	ssurance Jobs and Roles	14
Table 6: Proposed SWA Competency Mappings from	m the (ISC) ² Application Security Advisory Board	16
Table 7: Proposed SWA Competency Mappings from Reviewers	m (ISC) ² Application Security Advisory Board	21

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the following individuals for their contributions to this report. We greatly appreciate their insights and efforts.

We thank our sponsor, Joe Jarzombek, U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS Office of Cybersecurity and Communications), who had the insight to recognize the need for such a model and support its development.

We also thank the (ISC)² Application Security Advisory Board for their contribution of mapping job titles/descriptions to disciplines, behavioral indicators, and proficiency levels.

The following individuals provided critical insights in their review of this document:

- Julia H. Allen, Software Engineering Institute
- Ronda R. Henning, Harris Corporation
- Remzi Seker, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University
- Carol Sledge, Software Engineering Institute

We acknowledge the work done by the Department of Homeland Security to develop the Software Assurance Professional Competency Model. We also acknowledge the work done by the IEEE Computer Society Professional Activities Board, under the leadership of Richard Fairley, to establish A Framework for PAB Competency Models. Both of these documents have had a major influence on the structure and content of this model.

In addition, we thank Hollen Barmer of the Software Engineering Institute for her editorial support.

Abstract

This Software Assurance (SwA) Competency Model was developed to create a foundation for assessing and advancing the capability of software assurance professionals. To help organizations and individuals determine SwA competency across a range of knowledge areas and units, this model provides a span of competency levels 1 through 5, as well as a decomposition into individual competencies based on knowledge and skills. This model also provides a framework for an organization to adapt the model's features to the organization's particular domain, culture, or structure.

Introduction 1

1.1 Purpose

The Software Assurance (SwA) Competency Model was developed to support the following uses:

- Provide the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and other employers of SwA personnel with a means to assess the SwA capabilities of current and potential employees.
- Offer guidance to academic or training organizations that develop SwA courses to support the needs of organizations that are hiring and developing SwA professionals.
- Enhance SwA curricula guidance [Mead 2010a, 2010b, 2011] by providing information about industry needs and expectations for competent SwA professionals.
- Provide direction and a progression for the development and career planning of SwA professionals.
- Provide support for professional certification and licensing activities.

1.2 Background

In the development of the SwA Competency Model, a number of competency models and supporting materials were studied and analyzed. The following sources were most influential and useful:

- Software Assurance Professional Competency Model (DHS) Focuses on 10 SwA specialty areas (e.g., Software Assurance and Security Engineering, and Information Assurance Compliance); describes four levels of behavior indicators for each specialty area [DHS 2012]. The DHS model and the SwA Competency Model described here are compared in Appendix A.
- Information Technology Competency Model (Department of Labor) Uses a pyramid model to focus on a tiered set of generic non-technical and technical competency areas (e.g., Personal Effectiveness Competencies for Tier 1 and Industry-Wide Technical Competencies for Tier 4). Specific jobs or roles are not designated.
- A Framework for PAB Competency Models (Professional Advisory Board [PAB], IEEE Computer Society) Provides an introduction to competency models and presents guidelines for achieving consistency among competency models developed by the PAB. A generic framework for a professional that can be instantiated with specific knowledge, skills, and effectiveness levels for a particular computing profession (e.g., Software Engineering practitioner) [PAB 2012a, 2012b]
- Balancing Software Engineering Education and Industrial Needs Describes a study conducted to help both academia and the software industry form a picture of the relationship between the competencies of recent graduates of undergraduate and graduate software engineering programs and the competencies needed to perform as a software engineering professional [Moreno 2012]

- Competency Lifecycle Roadmap: Toward Performance Readiness (Software Engineering Institute)
 - Provides an early look at the roadmap for understanding and building workforce readiness. The roadmap includes activities to reach a state of readiness: Assess Plan, Acquire, Validate, and Test Readiness [Behrens 2012].
- Other work on competency models, including works from academia and government [Pyster 2012, Hilburn 1998, NASA 2009, VanLeer 2007]

SwA Competency Model Features 2

2.1 Terms and Definitions

For the purposes of this model, the following definition of software assurance will be used [Mead 2010a]:

Application of technologies and processes to achieve a required level of confidence that software systems and services function in the intended manner, are free from accidental or intentional vulnerabilities, provide security capabilities appropriate to the threat environment, and recover from intrusions and failures.

In this model, the term *competency* represents the set of knowledge, skills, and effectiveness needed to carry out the job activities associated with one or more roles in an employment position [PAB 2012a]:

- Knowledge is what an individual knows and can describe (e.g., can name and define various classes of risks).
- Skills are what an individual can do that involves application of knowledge to carry out a task (e.g., can identify and classify the risks associated with a project).
- Effectiveness is concerned with the ability to apply knowledge and skills in a productive manner, characterized by attributes of behavior such as aptitude, initiative, enthusiasm, willingness, communication skills, team participation, and leadership.

2.2 SwA Competency Levels

Levels of competency are used to distinguish different levels of professional capability, relative to knowledge, skills, and effectiveness. The five levels of SwA competency are characterized as follows [PAB 2012a]:

L1 - Technician

- Possesses technical knowledge and skills, typically gained through a certificate or an associate degree program, or equivalent knowledge and experience
- May be employed in a system operator, implementer, tester, or maintenance position with specific individual tasks assigned by someone at a higher level
- Main areas of competency: System Operational Assurance, System Functionality Assurance, and System Security Assurance (see Table 1)
- Major tasks: tool support, low-level implementation, testing, and maintenance

L2 - Professional Entry Level

- Possesses application-based knowledge and skills and entry-level professional effectiveness, typically gained through a bachelor's degree in computing or through equivalent professional experience
- May perform all tasks of L1. May also manage a small internal project; supervise and assign sub-tasks for L1 personnel; supervise and assess system operations; and implement commonly accepted assurance practices

- Main areas of competency: System Functionality Assurance, System Security Assurance, and Assurance Assessment (see Table 1)
- Major tasks: requirements fundamentals, module design, and implementation

L3 - Practitioner

- Possesses breadth and depth of knowledge, skills, and effectiveness beyond L2, and typically has two to five years of professional experience
- May perform all tasks of L2. May also set plans, tasks, and schedules for in-house projects; define and manage such projects and supervise teams on the enterprise level; report to management; assess the assurance quality of a system; implement and promote commonly accepted software assurance practices
- Main areas of competency: Risk Management, Assurance Assessment, and Assurance Management (see Table 1)
- Major tasks: requirements analysis, architectural design, tradeoff analysis, and risk assessment

L4 – Senior Practitioner

- Possesses breadth and depth of knowledge, skills, and effectiveness and a variety of work experiences beyond L3, with 5 to 10 years of professional experience and advanced professional development at the master's level or with equivalent education/training
- May perform all tasks of L3. May also identify and explore effective software assurance practices for implementation, manage large projects, interact with external agencies, and so forth
- Main areas of competency: Risk Management, Assurance Assessment, Assurance Management, and Assurance Across Lifecycles (see Table 1)
- Major tasks: assurance assessment, assurance management, and risk management across the lifecycle

L5 – Expert

Possesses competency beyond L4; advances the field by developing, modifying, and creating methods, practices, and principles at the organizational level or higher; has peer/industry recognition; typically includes a low percentage of an organization's workforce within the SwA profession (e.g., 2 % or less)

2.3 SwA Knowledge, Skills, and Effectiveness

The primary source for SwA Competency Model knowledge and skills is the Core Body of Knowledge (CorBoK), contained in Software Assurance Curriculum Project, Volume 1: Master of Software Assurance Reference Curriculum [Mead 2010a]. The CorBoK consists of the knowledge areas listed in Table 1. Each knowledge area is further divided into second-level units as shown in Table 3. For each unit, competency activities are described for L1-L5.

Table 1: CorBoK Knowledge Areas and Competencies

Knowledge Area (KA)	KA Competency		
AALC: Assurance Across Lifecycles L3, L4, L5	The ability to incorporate assurance technologies and methods into lifecycle processes and development models for new or evolutionary system development, and for system or service acquisition		
RM: Risk Management L2, L3, L4, L5	The ability to perform risk analysis and tradeoff assessment, and to prioritize security measures		
AA: Assurance Assessment L1, L2, L3, L4	The ability to analyze and validate the effectiveness of assurance operations and create auditable evidence of security measures		
AM: Assurance Management L3, L4, L5	The ability to make a business case for software assurance, lead assurance efforts, understand standards, comply with regulations, plan for business continuity, and keep current in security technologies		
SSA: System Security Assurance L1, L2, L3, L4	The ability to incorporate effective security technologies and methods into new and existing systems		
SFA: System Functionality Assurance L1, L2, L3	The ability to verify new and existing software system functionality for conformance to requirements and to help reveal malicious content		
SOA: System Operational Assurance L1, L2, L3	The ability to monitor and assess system operational security and respond to new threats		

Other than a unit on "Ethics and Integrity" in the System Security Assurance Knowledge Area, the CorBoK does not contain topics on competency associated with effectiveness; the effectiveness attributes are listed in Table 2 (adapted from A Framework for PAB Competency Models [PAB 2012a]). In Table 2, for a given attribute, there is no differentiation in effectiveness for the different competency levels; however, professionals would be expected to show an increase in the breadth and depth of capability in these areas of effectiveness as they proceed through their careers and move to higher competency levels.

Competency Attributes of Effectiveness¹ Table 2:

Aptitude L2-L5	The ability to do a certain software assurance activity at a certain level of competence. Aptitude is not the same as knowledge or skill but rather indicates the ability to apply knowledge in an adept manner.
Initiative L1-L5	The ability to start and follow through on a software assurance work activity with interest and determination
Enthusiasm L1-L5	Being interested in and excited about performing a software assurance work activity
Willingness L1-L5	Undertaking a work activity, when asked, even if it is an activity the individual is not enthusiastic about performing
Communication L2-L5	Expressing thoughts and ideas in both oral and written forms in a clear and concise manner while interacting with team members, managers, project stakeholders, and others
Teamwork L1-L5	Working professionally and willingly with other team members while collaborating on work activities
Leadership L3-L5	Effectively communicating a vision, strategy, or technique that is accepted and shared by team members, managers, project stakeholders, and others

2.4 Competency Designations

Table 3 presents the CorBoK knowledge areas and second-level units, along with a description of the appropriate knowledge and skills for each competency level and the effectiveness attributes. A designation of L1 applies to L1 through L5; a designation of L2 applies to L2 through L5; and so on. The level descriptions indicate the competency activities that are demonstrated at each level.

This content was adapted from A Framework for PAB Competency Models [PAB 2012a].

Table 3: SwA Competency Designations

Knowledge/Skill/Effectiveness			
KA	Unit	Competency Activities	
	Software Lifecycle Processes	L1: Understand and execute the portions of a defined process applicable to the assigned tasks.	
		L2: Manage the application of a defined lifecycle software process for a small internal project.	
cles		L3: Lead and assess process application for small and medium-sized projects over a variety of lifecycle phases, such as new development, acquisition, operation, and evolution.	
, Lifecy		L4: Manage the application of a defined lifecycle software process for a large project, including selecting and adapting existing SwA practices by lifecycle phase.	
Assurance Across Lifecycles		L5: Analyze, design, and evolve lifecycle processes that meet the special organizational or domain needs and constraints.	
surance	Software Assurance	L1: Possess general awareness of methods, procedures, and tools used to assess assurance processes and practices.	
As	Processes and	L2: Apply methods, procedures, and tools to assess assurance processes and practices.	
	Practices	L3: Manage integration of assurance practices into typical lifecycle phases.	
		L4: Lead the selection and integration of lifecycle assurance processes and practices in all projects across an organization.	
		L5: Analyze assurance assessment results to determine best practices for various lifecycle phases.	
	Risk Management Concepts	L1: Understand the basic elements of risk management, including threat modeling.	
		L2: Explain how risk analysis is performed.	
		L3: Determine the models, process, and metrics to be used in risk management for small internal projects.	
		L4: Develop the models, processes, and metrics to be used in risk management of projects of any size.	
		L5: Analyze the effectiveness of the use and application of risk management concepts across an organization.	
ţ	Risk Management Processes	L1: Describe an organizational risk management process.	
eme		L2: Identify and describe the risks associated with a project.	
Risk Management		L3: Analyze the likelihood, impact, and severity of each identified risk for a project. Plan and monitor risk management for small to medium-sized projects.	
쏤		L4: Plan and monitor risk management for a large project.	
œ		L5: Develop a program for analyzing and enhancing risk management practices across an organization.	
	Software	L1: Describe risk assessment techniques for threats.	
	Assurance Risk	L2: Apply risk assessment techniques to identified threats.	
	Management	L3: Analyze and plan for mitigation of software assurance risks for small systems.	
		L4: Analyze and plan for mitigation of software assurance risks for both new and existing systems.	
		L5: Assess software assurance processes and practices across an organization and propose improvements.	

		Knowledge/Skill/Effectiveness
KA	Unit	Competency Activities
	Assurance	L1: Provide tool and documentation support for assurance assessment activities.
	Assessment Concepts	L2: Support assurance assessment activities.
		L3: Apply various assurance assessment methods (such as validation of security requirements, risk analysis, threat analysis, vulnerability assessments and scans, and assurance evidence) to determine if the software/system being assessed is sufficiently secure within tolerances.
nent		L4: Establish and specify the required or desired level of assurance for a specific software application, set of applications, or software-reliant system.
Assurance Assessment		L5: Research, analyze, and recommend best practices for assurance assessment methods and techniques.
nce /	Measurement for	L1: Provide tool and documentation support for assurance assessment measurement.
sura	Assessing Assurance	L2: Support assurance assessment measurement activities.
Ass	Assurance	L3: Implement assurance assessment measurement activities.
		L4: Determine and then analyze the key product and process measurements, and performance indicators that can be used to validate the required level of software assurance; determine which software assurance measurement processes and frameworks might be used to accomplish software assurance integration into lifecycle phases.
		L5: Research, analyze, and recommend best practices for assurance assessment measurement.
	Making the Business Case for Assurance	L1: Understand the need for business case analysis. L2: Apply a business case tradeoff analysis to existing data and determine the validity of the case.
		L3: Identify and gather data needed, and produce the business case.
		L4: Perform sophisticated business case analysis.
		L5: Perform research to develop new business case analysis approaches.
+=	Managing Assurance	L1: Understand the importance of assurance in the lifecycle.
mer		L2: Support software assurance management tasks.
Assurance Management		L3: Manage small software assurance projects, building in software assurance practices and measurement.
Ince M		L4: Manage medium-sized to large projects, building in software assurance practices and measurement.
sura		L5: Develop new methods for managing assurance.
As	Compliance Considerations	L1: Understand the importance of compliance and possess awareness of laws and regulations.
	for Assurance	L2: Apply known compliance considerations, laws, and policies to a project.
		L3: Lead compliance activities for a conventional project.
		L4: Lead compliance activities for a complex project, and participate in standards and policy activities.
		L5: Lead standard and policy development activities. Propose new standards and policies.

	Knowledge/Skill/Effectiveness			
KA	Unit Competency Activities			
	For Newly Developed and Acquired Software for Diverse Applications	 L1: Possess knowledge of safety and security risks associated with critical infrastructure systems (e.g., banking and finance, energy production and distribution, telecommunications, and transportation systems). L2: Describe the variety of methods by which attackers can damage software or data associated with that software by exploiting weaknesses in the system design or implementation. L3: Apply software assurance countermeasures such as layers, access controls, privileges, intrusion detection, encryption, and code review checklists. L4: Analyze the threats to which software is most likely to be vulnerable in specific operating environments and domains. L5: Perform research on security risks and attack methods, and use it to support modification or creation of techniques used to counter such risks and attacks. 		
System Security Assurance	For Diverse Operational (Existing) Systems	 L1: Possess knowledge of the attacks that have been used to interfere with an application's or system's operations. L2: Possess knowledge of how gates, locks, guards, and background checks can address risks. L3: Design and plan for access control and authentication. L4: Analyze the threats to which software is most likely to be vulnerable in specific operating environments and domains. L5: Perform research on security risks and attack methods, and use it to support modification or creation of techniques used to counter such risks and attacks. 		
	Ethics and Integrity in Creation, Acquisition, and Operation of Software Systems	 L1: Possess knowledge of how people who are knowledgeable about attack and prevention methods are obligated to use their abilities, both legally and ethically. L2: Possess knowledge of the legal and ethical considerations involved in analyzing a variety of historical events and investigations. L3: Follow legal and ethical guidelines in the creation and maintenance of software systems. L4: Play a leadership role in the practice of ethical behavior for software security. L5: Create new case studies for use in education about ethical and legal issues. 		
tionality Assurance	Assurance Technology	L1: Possess general awareness of technologies used for system functionality assurance. L2: Apply assurance technology to determine system functionality assurance. L3: Manage integration of selected technology in the functionality assurance process. L4: Select and guide decisions on the use of selected technologies for specific projects. L5: Analyze assurance technologies and contribute to the development of new ones.		
System Function	Assured Software Development	 L1: Understand the importance of assurance in the development process. L2: Engage in the development tasks contributing to functionality assurance. L3: Lead the development of a functionality assurance process in small projects. L4: Select and guide decisions on the use of a specific assurance process in large projects. L5: Analyze assured development processes and contribute to the development of new ones. 		

	Knowledge/Skill/Effectiveness			
KA	Unit	Competency Activities		
KA	Assured Software Analytics Assurance in Acquisition	 L1: Understand the need for using an analytical approach to software development and the use of supporting tools. L2: Apply specific selected methods for structured and functional analysis "in the small." L3: Lead projects applying specific selected methods for structured and functional analysis "in the large." L4: Lead development teams in testing assurance and developing auditable assurance evidence. L5: Develop new methods and techniques allowing for testing assurance, and develop auditable assurance evidence. L1: Understand the need to identify risks in internal software, contracted software, commercial, off-the-shelf (COTS) software, and software as a service (SaaS). L2: Define and analyze risks in the acquisition of contracted software, COTS software, 		
		 and SaaS; employ mitigation tactics to test and identify risks prior to integration. L3: Manage multiple supply chains and employ measures to reduce risk in acquisition, and require vendors to employ security measures equal to or greater than internal policy. L4: Lead acquisition teams by providing policy, process, tools, and language to prevent the acquisition of insecure software. L5: Establish comprehensive policies, plans, and education to L1-L4 personnel, all software development lifecycle stakeholders, and procurement teams to protect against the acquisition of insecure software. 		
	Operational Procedures	 L1: Understand the role of business objectives and strategic planning in system assurance. L2: Support the creation of security policies and procedures for system operations. L3: Create security policies and procedures for the operation of a designated system. L4: Define the process and procedures for creating security policies and procedures for the operation of a designated system. L5: Research, analyze, and recommend best practices for determining security policies and procedures for system operations. 		
System Operational Assurance	Operational Monitoring	 L1: Provide support for the installation and use of tools for monitoring and controlling system operation. L2: Support the installation and configuration or acquisition of monitors and controls for systems, services, and personnel. L3: Evaluate operational monitoring results with respect to system and service functionality and security, and malicious content and application of countermeasures. L4: Lead maintenance and evolution of operational systems while preserving assured functionality and security. L5: Research, analyze, and recommend best practices for operational monitoring with respect to system and service functionality and security. 		
Syst	System Control	 L1: Provide support for the installation and use of tools for monitoring and controlling system operation. L2: Support the implementation of effective responses to operational system accidents, failures, and intrusions. L3: Implement effective responses to operational system accidents, failures, and intrusions. L4: Lead and plan for effective responses to operational system accidents, failures, and intrusions, including maintenance of business survivability and continuity of operations in adverse environments. L5: Research, analyze, and recommend best practices for system control with respect to operational system accidents, failures, and intrusions, including business survivability and continuity of operations in adverse environments. 		

Experience with the Model and Summary 3

This Software Assurance Competency Model was developed to create a foundation for assessing and advancing the capability of software assurance professionals. To help organizations and individuals determine SwA competency across a range of knowledge areas and units, this model provides a span of competency levels 1 through 5, as well as a decomposition into individual competencies based on knowledge and skills. As noted earlier, this model was compared with the DHS Competency Model in Appendix A. Some mappings of actual organizational positions to the model are shown in Appendix B. This model also provides a framework for an organization to adapt the model's features to the organization's particular domain, culture, or structure.

Appendix A: Relationship to the DHS Professional Competency Model

The DHS Software Assurance Professional Competency Model had a major influence on the organization and content of the Software Assurance Competency Model described in this report. In this section, we discuss the purpose of the DHS model, its organization of competency areas around specialties, and the associated software assurance competency levels.

Purpose of Competency Models

The DHS model [DHS 2012] is designed to serve the following needs:

- Interagency and public-private collaboration to promote and enable security and resilience of software throughout the lifecycle.
- Means to reduce exploitable software weaknesses and improve capabilities that routinely develop, acquire, and deploy resilient software products.
- Development and publishing of software security content and SwA curriculum courseware focused on integrating software security content into relevant education and training programs.
- Software security automation and measurement capabilities.

Clearly, there is substantial commonality and overlap between the purposes of the two models. The primary distinction is that this model (see Section 1.1) is intended to serve a bit broader spectrum of SwA stakeholders, but it does include the DHS stakeholders as a principal focus.

Organization of Competency Areas

The DHS organizes its Model around a set of "specialty areas" aligned with the National Initiative for Cybersecurity Education (NICE) that correspond to the range of areas in which the DHS has interest and responsibility:

- Software Assurance and Security Engineering
- Information Assurance Compliance
- Enterprise Architecture
- Technology Demonstration
- Education and Training
- Strategic Planning and Policy Development
- Knowledge Management
- Cyber Threat Analysis
- Vulnerability Assessment and Management
- Systems Requirements Planning

The content of this model is related to the DHS specialty area of Software Assurance and Security Engineering, with additional topics integrated from other specialty areas such as Technology Demonstration, Cyber Threat Analysis, Vulnerability Assessment and Management, and Systems Requirements Planning. The organizational units of this model are "knowledge areas," which correspond to a core body of knowledge developed in an earlier curriculum development project [Mead 2010a].

SwA Competency Levels

The DHS model designates four "proficiency" levels for which competencies are specified for each specialty area:

- Level 1 Basic: Understands the subject matter and is seen as someone who can perform basic or developmental level work in activities requiring this specialty
- Level 2 Intermediate: Can apply the subject matter and is considered someone who has the capability to fully perform work that requires application of this specialty
- Level 3 Advanced: Can analyze the subject matter and is seen as someone who can immediately contribute to the success of work requiring this specialty
- Level 4 Expert: Can synthesize/evaluate the subject matter and is looked to as an expert in this specialty

Behavioral Indicators

For each specialty area, the DHS describes, for each level, how the competency manifests itself in observable on-the-job behavior, called behavioral indicators.

The four DHS levels correspond well with the top four levels of this model (2-5) (see Section 2.2). This similarity in levels is most prominent in the DHS model's description of behavior indicators for the Software Assurance and Security Engineering specialty area.

The description of each specialty area also designates *proficiency targets* (which identify the proficiency at which a person in a specific career level should be performing) and aligns with the behavioral indicator descriptions for the specialty area. For example, the Software Assurance and Security Engineering specialty area designate the targets depicted in Table 4.

Table 4: Proficiency Targets for the Software Assurance and Security Engineering Specialty Area

Proficiency Targets				
Project Lead (GS 13)	Senior (GS 14)	Director (GS 15)		
3 - Advanced	4 - Expert	4 - Expert		

Appendix B designates proficiency targets for various software assurance jobs/roles.

Appendix B: SwA Draft Competency Model Review Result

The tables in this appendix designate proficiency targets for various software assurance jobs and

Table 5: Proficiency Targets for Various Software Assurance Jobs and Roles

Technical Level	Title	Behavioral Indicators	Proficiency Target
L1	Acceptance Tester	1 - Basic	Entry/Apprentice
L1	Junior Information Assurance Engineer	1 - Basic	Entry/Apprentice
L1	Programmer 1	1 - Basic	Entry/Apprentice
L1	Junior Software Assurance Engineer	1 - Basic	Entry/Apprentice
L1	Junior Application Security Engineer	1 - Basic	Entry/Apprentice
L1	Junior Security Engineer	1 - Basic	Entry/Apprentice
L1	Software Assurance Technician	1 - Basic	Entry/Apprentice
L1	Software Assurance Engineer	1 - Basic	Entry/Apprentice
L2	Information Assurance Analyst	2 - Intermediate	Journey
L2	Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate	Journey
L2	Integration Engineer	2 - Intermediate	Journey
L2	Maintenance Engineer	2 - Intermediate	Journey
L2	Programmer 2	2 - Intermediate	Journey
L2	QA Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L2	Release Engineer	2 - Intermediate	Journey
L2	Software Developer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L2	Software Implementer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L2	Software Programmer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L2	Support Engineer	2 - Intermediate	Journey
L2	Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate	Journey
L2	Application Security Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L2	Application Security Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L3	Application Security Architect	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L3	Consultant	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L3	Consulting Architect	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L3	Consulting Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L3	Information Assurance Architect	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L3	Programmer 3	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L3	Requirements Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey

Technical Level	Title	Behavioral Indicators	Proficiency Target
L3	Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L3	Software Architect	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L3	Software Manager	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	Journey
L3	Software Team Lead	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L3	Senior Information Assurance Engineer	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L3	Senior Programmer	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L3	Senior Software Analyst	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L3	Senior Software Developer	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L3	Senior Software Engineer	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L4	Information Assurance Manager	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L4	Lead Software Engineer	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L4	Principal Information Assurance Engineer	4 - Expert	Senior/Master
L4	Principal Software Engineer	4 - Expert	Senior/Master
L4	Product Manager	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L4	Project Manager	3 - Advanced	Senior/Master
L4	Senior Software Architect	4 - Expert	Senior/Master
L5	Chief Information Assurance Engineer	4 - Expert	Senior/Master
L5	Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert	Senior/Master

Table 6: Proposed SWA Competency Mappings from the (ISC)² Application Security Advisory Board

	Kno	wledge/Skill/Effectiveness	- Behavioral Indicators	
KA	Unit	Denavioral indicators		
	Software Lifecycle Processes	L1: Application Security Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L2: Application Security Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 – Advanced	
S		L3: Software Architect	3 - Advanced	
Assurance Across Lifecycles		L4: Application Security Architect, Senior Software Architect Information Assurance Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert	
Across		L5: Software Team Lead, Principal Security Architect	4 - Expert	
surance	Software Assurance Processes and	L1: QA Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
Ass	Practices	L2: QA Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L3: Senior QA Engineer	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert	
		L4: Lead QA Engineer	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert	
		L5: Principal QA Engineer, QA Engineer Manager	4 - Expert	
	Risk Management Concepts	L1: Information Assurance Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L2: Information Assurance Analyst 2	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L3: Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L4: Information Assurance Architect	3 - Advanced	
		L5: Lead Information Assurance Architect, Information Assurance Manager	4 - Expert	
	Risk Management Process	L1: Information Assurance Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
gement		L2: Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
Mana		L3: Information Assurance Architect	3 - Advanced	
Risk Manag		L4: Product Manager	3 - Advanced	
F		L5: Lead Information Assurance Architect, Information Assurance Manager	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert	
	Software Assurance Risk Management	L1: Information Assurance Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L2: Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L3: Information Assurance Architect	3 - Advanced	
		L4: Product Manager	3 - Advanced	
			L5: Lead Information Assurance Architect, Information Assurance Manager	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert

Knowledge/Skill/Effectiveness Behavioral Indicator				
KA	Unit	Job Titles	Deliavioral indicators	
	Assurance Assessment	L1: Information Assurance Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
	Concepts	L2: Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L3: Information Assurance Architect	3 – Advanced	
		L4: Product Manager	3 – Advanced	
		L5: Lead Information Assurance Architect, Information Assurance Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert	
ent	Measurement for Assessing Assurance	L1: Information Assurance Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
Assurance Assessment		L2: Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
nce A		L3: Information Assurance Architect	3 – Advanced	
surai		L4: Product Manager	3 – Advanced	
As		L5: Lead Information Assurance Architect, Information Assurance Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert	
	Assurance Assessment Process	L1: Information Assurance Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L2: Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L3: Information Assurance Architect	3 – Advanced	
		L4: Product Manager	3 – Advanced	
		L5: Lead Information Assurance Architect, Information Assurance Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert	
	Making the Business Case for Assurance	L1: Information Assurance Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L2: Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L3: Information Assurance Architect	3 – Advanced	
nent		L4: Product Manager	3 – Advanced	
Assurance Management		L5: Lead Information Assurance Architect, Information Assurance Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert	
	Managing Assurance	L1: Information Assurance Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L2: Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L3: Information Assurance Architect	3 – Advanced	
		L4: Product Manager	3 – Advanced	
			L5: Lead Information Assurance Architect, Information Assurance Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert

Knowledge/Skill/Effectiveness			Balandara Hadia Asar
KA	Unit	Job Titles	Behavioral Indicators
	Compliance Considerations for Assurance	L1: Information Assurance Analyst, Information Security Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L2: Information Assurance Engineer, Information Security Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Information Assurance Architect, Information Security Architect	3 - Advanced
		L4: Product Manager	3 - Advanced
		L5: Lead Information Assurance Architect, Information Assurance Architect, Lead Information Security Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
	For Newly Developed and Acquired Software for Diverse	L1: Software Developer, Software Programmer, QA Analyst, Software Implementer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
	Applications	L2: QA Engineer, Software Engineer, Requirements Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Programmer 2, Programmer 3, QA Lead, QA Engineer 2	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L4: Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Lead Software Engineer, Lead Software Developer	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
ance	For Diverse Operational (Existing) Systems	L1: Software Developer, Software Programmer, QA Analyst, Software Implementer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
System Security Assurance		L2: QA Engineer, Software Engineer, Requirements Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
ım Secur		L3: Programmer 2, Programmer 3, QA Lead, QA Engineer 2	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
Syste		L4: Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Lead Software Engineer, Lead Software Developer	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
	Ethics and Integrity in Creation, Acquisition, and Operation of	L1: Information Assurance Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
	Software Systems	L2: Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Information Assurance Architect	3 - Advanced
		L4: Product Manager	3 - Advanced
		L5: Lead Information Assurance Architect, Information Assurance Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert

	Kn	Behavioral Indicators	
KA	Unit	Job Titles	Benavioral mulcators
	Assurance Technology	L1: QA Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
			L2: QA Engineer QA Analyst 2
		L3: Senior QA Engineer QA Engineer 2, QA Analyst 3	3 - Advanced
		L4: Lead QA Engineer	3 - Advanced
		L5: Principal QA Engineer	4 - Expert
	Assured Software Development	L1: Software Developer, Software Programmer, QA Analyst, Software Implementer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L2: QA Engineer, Software Engineer, Requirements Engineer, Programmer 1	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Programmer 2, Programmer 3, QA Lead, QA Engineer 2	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
oce		L4: Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced
Assurar		L5: Lead Software Engineer, Lead Software Developer	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
tionality	Assured Software Analytics	L1: Software Developer, Software Programmer, QA Analyst, Software Implementer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
System Functionality Assurance		L2: QA Engineer, Software Engineer, Requirements Engineer, Programmer 1	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
Syste		L3: Programmer 2, Programmer 3, QA Lead, QA Engineer 2	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L4: Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced
		L5: Lead Software Engineer, Lead Software Developer	3 - Advanced
	Assurance in Acquisition	L1: Software Developer, Software Programmer, QA Analyst, Software Implementer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L2: QA Engineer, Software Engineer, Requirements Engineer, Programmer 1	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Programmer 2, Programmer 3, QA Lead, QA Engineer 2	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L4: Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced
			L5: Lead Software Engineer, Lead Software Developer

	Kı	Debessional Indicators	
KA	Unit	Job Titles	Behavioral Indicators
	Operational Procedures	L1: Software Developer, Software Programmer, QA Analyst, Software Implementer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L2: QA Engineer, Software Engineer, Requirements Engineer, Programmer 1	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Programmer 2, Programmer 3, QA Lead, QA Engineer 2	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L4: Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced
8		L5: Lead Software Engineer, Lead Software Developer	3 - Advanced
Assuran	Operational Monitoring	L1: Operations Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
rational		L2: Operations Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
System Operational Assurance		L3: Operations Engineer 2	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
Sys		L4: Senior Operations Engineer	3 - Advanced
		L5: Lead Operations Engineer	3 - Advanced
	System Control	L1: Operations Analyst	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L2: Operations Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Operations Engineer 2	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L4: Senior Operations Engineer	3 - Advanced
		L5: Lead Operations Engineer	3 - Advanced

Table 7: Proposed SWA Competency Mappings from (ISC)² Application Security Advisory Board Reviewers

	Reviewers		
KA	Unit	Job Titles	Behavioral Indictors
	Software Lifecycle Processes	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
		L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
cycles		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
oss Life		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert
Assurance Across Lifecycles	Software Assurance Processes and Practices	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
Assı		L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert

KA	Unit	Job Titles	Behavioral Indictors
	Risk Management Concepts	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
		L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
ment		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert
Risk Management	Risk Management Process	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
Ris		L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert

		Knowledge/Skill/Effectiveness	Behavioral Indictors
KA	Unit	Job Titles	benavioral indictors
	Software Assurance Risk Management	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
		L2: Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Consultant, Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert
	Assurance Assessment Concepts	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
	·	L2: Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
Assurance Assessment		L3: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Consultant, Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert

		Bahawia wali kudiata wa	
KA	Unit	Job Titles	Behavioral Indictors
	Measurement for Assessing Assurance	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
		L2: Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Consultant, Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert
	Assurance Assessment Process	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
	1 100000	L2: Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Consultant, Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert

KA	Unit	Job Titles	Behavioral Indictors
	Making the Business Case	L1: Junior Information Assurance Engineer	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
	for Assurance	L2: Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Consultant, Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Application Security Architect	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert
agement	Managing Assurance	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
Assurance Management		L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Implementer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
A		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert
	Compliance Considerations	L1: Junior Information Assurance Engineer	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
	for Assurance	L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced

		Daharianal ladiatana	
КА	Unit	Job Titles	Behavioral Indictors
		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert
	For Newly Developed and Acquired	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
	Software for Diverse Applications	L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
Security Assurance		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
System Securi		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert
	For Diverse Operational (Existing)	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
	Systems	L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced

Knowledge/Skill/Effectiveness			Data and and badlada
KA	Unit	Job Titles	Behavioral Indictors
		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert
	Ethics and Integrity in Creation, Acquisition, and Operation of Software Systems	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
		L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert

		Daharianal Indiatana	
KA	Unit	Job Titles	Behavioral Indictors
	Assurance Technology	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
		L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Consultant, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
ance		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
System Functionality Assurance		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert
Function	Assured Software Development	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
System	·	L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Consultant, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert

		5	
KA	Unit	Behavioral Indictors	
	Assurance Technology	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
		L2: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect	3 - Advanced
ance		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
lity Assura		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert
System Functionality Assurance	Assurance in Acquisition	L1: Acceptance Tester, Junior Information Assurance Engineer, Programmer 1	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate
		L2: Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced
		L3: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Consultant, Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect	3 - Advanced
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert

KA	Unit	Job Titles	Behavioral Indictors	
	Operational Procedures	L1: Junior Information Assurance Engineer	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate	
		L2: Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Support Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L3: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Consulting Engineer, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer	3 - Advanced	
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert	
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert	
	Operational Monitoring	L1: Junior Information Assurance Engineer	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate	
System Operational Assurance		L2: Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Programmer 2, QA Engineer, Release Engineer, Software Developer, Software Implementer, Support Engineer, Integration Engineer, Test Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L3: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Consultant, Consultant Architect, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Programmer 3, Requirements Engineer, Software Architect, Software Manager, Software Team Lead, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Developer, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect	3 - Advanced	
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer, Product Manager, Project Manager, Senior Software Architect	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert	
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert	
	System Control	L1: Junior Information Assurance Engineer	1 - Basic 2 - Intermediate	
		L2: Information Assurance Analyst, Information Assurance Engineer, Maintenance Engineer, Support Engineer	2 - Intermediate 3 - Advanced	
		L3: Application Security Analyst, Application Security Engineer, Consulting Engineer, Information Assurance Architect, Software Manager, Senior Information Assurance Engineer, Senior Programmer, Senior Software Analyst, Senior Software Engineer, Application Security Architect, Security Control Assessor	3 - Advanced	

		Daharda wallo diatawa		
KA	Unit	Job Titles	Behavioral Indictors	
		L4: Information Assurance Manager, Lead Software Engineer, Principal Information Assurance Engineer, Principal Software Engineer	3 - Advanced 4 - Expert	
		L5: Chief Information Assurance Engineer, Chief Software Engineer	4 - Expert	

Bibliography

URLs are valid as of the publication date of this document.

[Behrens 2012]

Behrens, Sandra G.; Alberts, C.; & Ruefle, R. Competency Lifecycle Roadmap: Toward Performance Readiness (CMU/SEI-2012-TN-020). Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, 2012. http://www.sei.cmu.edu/library/abstracts/reports/12tn020.cfm

[CS 2001]

Career Space (CS). Curriculum Development Guidelines: New ICT Curricula for the 21st Century Designing Tomorrow's Education. Career Space, 2001.

http://www.cedefop.europa.eu/etv/Upload/Information resources/Bookshop/50/2204 en.pdf

[DHS 2012]

U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS). Software Assurance Professional Competency Model, July 2012.

[DoD 2012]

U.S. Department of Defense (DoD). Information Assurance Workforce Improvement Program, DoD Directive 8570.01. U.S. Department of Defense, January 24, 2012. http://www.dtic.mil/whs/directives/corres/pdf/857001m.pdf

[DoL 2012]

U.S. Department of Labor (DoL). *Information Technology Competency Model*. U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, August 2012.

[Hilburn 1998]

Hilburn, T., et al. Software Engineering Competency Study: Final Report. Federal Aviation Administration, December 1998.

[Mead 2010a]

Mead, Nancy R., et al. Software Assurance Curriculum Project, Volume I: Master of Software Assurance Reference Curriculum (CMU/SEI-2010-TR-005). Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, 2010. http://www.sei.cmu.edu/library/abstracts/reports/10tr005.cfm

[Mead 2010b]

Mead, Nancy R.; Hilburn, Thomas B.; & Linger, Richard C. Software Assurance Curriculum Project, Volume II: Undergraduate Course Outlines (CMU/SEI-2010-TR-019). Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, 2010.

http://www.sei.cmu.edu/library/abstracts/reports/10tr019.cfm

[Mead 2011]

Mead, Nancy R.; Hawthorne, Elizabeth K.; & Ardis, Mark. *Software Assurance Curriculum Project, Volume IV: Community College Education* (CMU/SEI-2011-TR-017). Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, 2011.

http://www.sei.cmu.edu/library/abstracts/reports/11tr017.cfm

[Moreno 2012]

Moreno, Ana M., et al. "Balancing Software Engineering Education and Industrial Needs." *The Journal of Systems and Software*, 85 (1607-1620): 2012.

[NASA 2009]

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). "NASA's Systems Engineering Competencies." http://www.nasa.gov/offices/oce/appel/pm-development/pm se competency framework.html (2009).

[PAB 2012a]

Professional Advisory Board. A Framework for PAB Competency Models. IEEE Computer Society, Draft Version, August 6, 2012.

[PAB 2012b]

Professional Advisory Board. *A Competency Model for Software Engineering Practitioners*. IEEE Computer Society, Draft Version, August 6, 2012.

[Pyster 2012]

Pyster, A.; Olwell, D. H.; Ferris, T. L. J.; Hutchison, N.; Enck, S.; Anthony, J.; Henry, D.; & Squires, A. (eds.). *Graduate Reference Curriculum for Systems Engineering (GRCSE)*. Hoboken, NJ. Stevens Institute of Technology. http://www.bkcase.org/grcse/grcse-10/ (2012).

[VanLeer 2007]

VanLeer, Mary. "Systems Engineering Competency Development," *Proceedings of the 5th Annual Conference on Systems Engineering Research*. Hoboken, N.J., March 14-16, 2007. Stevens Institute of Technology, 2007.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
1.	AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank)	2. REPORT DATE March 2013			PORT TYPE AND DATES EVERED		
4.	TITLE AND SUBTITLE Software Assurance Competency Model				NDING NUMBERS \8721-05-C-0003		
6.	AUTHOR(S) Thomas Hilburn, Mark Ardis, Glenn Johnson, Andrew Kornecki, Nancy R. Mead						
7.	Software Engineering Institute			RE	RFORMING ORGANIZATION PORT NUMBER		
	Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213			CI	MU/SEI-2013-TN-004		
9.	SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) AFLCMC/PZE/Hanscom Enterprise Acquisition Division 20 Schilling Circle Building 1305 Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-2116				ONSORING/MONITORING EENCY REPORT NUMBER		
11.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
			_				
12A	DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT	ī		12B DIS	STRIBUTION CODE		
	Unclassified/Unlimited, DTIC, NTIS						
13.	13. ABSTRACT (MAXIMUM 200 WORDS) This Software Assurance (SwA) Competency Model was developed to create a foundation for assessing and advancing the capability of software assurance professionals. To help organizations and individuals determine SwA competency across a range of knowledge areas and units, this model provides a span of competency levels 1 through 5, as well as a decomposition into individual competencies based on knowledge and skills. This model also provides a framework for an organization to adapt the model's features to the organization's particular domain, culture, or structure.						
14.	. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES			
	software assurance, SWA, competency model; SWA competency			43			
16.	PRICE CODE						
17.	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIF	ICATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		
	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified		UL		