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An Architect’s Field of View

- Most architects of software-intensive systems come from the trenches of system development:
  - Software developers
  - Hardware developers
  - System Administrators
  - Database Designers
- Their field of view is usually limited to that which they cultivated in the trenches:
  - System Structure
  - System Behavior
  - System Data

Field of View is Shaped By Our Early Experiences

NOTE: Size of “Data” in FOV May Be Exaggerated!
Limited Field of View

- Many Architects Focus on Technical Aspects of Architecture Only
- Technical Aspects of Architecture Affect Many Non-Technical Areas
  - Managerial
  - Contractual
  - Financial
- Non-Technical Aspects are Peripheral to the Technical Aspects
- Peripheral Aspects and Technical Aspects Are Symbiotic In Nature

Architect’s Field of View Is Often Limited

Architects Often Ignore Non-Technical Aspects

“Collateral Damage”

- Lack of Peripheral Vision Can Lead to “Collateral Damage”
  - Cost overruns
  - Poor Schedule Performance
  - Test & Integration Problems
  - Project Cancellation
  - Litigation
- Damage Caused Can Be So Great That Even the Best Technical Architecture Will Fail
- Damage Usually Manifests As Constraints Imposed by the Technical Aspects of the Architecture

Collateral Damage Can Doom An Architecture
Managerial Aspects

- Management Provides Vital Enablers:
  - Staff, facilities, communication, etc.
  - Schedules
  - Advocacy
  - Logistics
- These enablers are DIRECTLY affected by architecture
  - Complexity dictated by architecture drives staffing requirements
  - Structure of architecture drives logistics, schedules, and teaming arrangements
  - Breadth of architecture affects communication within development teams

Complex Architectures Can Prove to be Unmanageable

Financial Aspect

- Development of architectures requires funding
  - Labor, licenses, hardware, facilities
- Highly Coupled Architectures force financial constraints:
  - These architectures usually require lots of funding over short periods of time
- Loosely Coupled Architectures provide financial flexibility
  - The highly modular nature allows development of pieces of the architecture over longer periods of time

Structure of Architecture Can Handcuff Funding
**Contractual Aspect**

- The Structure of an Architecture can affect contracts with:
  - Customers
  - Vendors
  - Teammates

- Architectures with many-to-many dependencies cause complex contract issues:
  - Work is not easily divided amongst teammates and vendors
  - Responsibilities for requirement fulfillment can be unclear

- Acceptance of a highly coupled architecture can be tricky
  - Acceptance might have to wait until all pieces are built – extending work on pieces that could otherwise finish early

**Complicated Structures Cause Complex Contractual Issues**

---

**Example #1 – Managerial Aspect**

- **CORBA Based Architecture**
  - Two architectures were created, both with a great emphasis on the “plug and play” nature of CORBA
  - CORBA IDL would define interfaces for functionality, allowing specific implementations to be “plugged in”

- **Problem: CORBA is a complicated technology requiring highly-skilled developers**
  - One architecture did not recognize this problem, causing a lack of acceptance of their architecture
  - Other architecture recognized the lack of skilled developers in the organization and minimized the use of CORBA

**Architectures Must Accommodate Organizational Constraints**
Example #2 – Contractual Aspect

- Non-traditional Structure
  - Architecture was created where small pieces were handed off to separate development organizations
  - Component responsibility was based on each development organization’s area of expertise
  - Better organizational alignment was avoided in order to optimize the software architecture

- Problem: Each development organization depended upon each other and was responsible to each other
  - Made contractual relationships very tricky
  - Optimization gained was more than offset by contractual complexity

Architectures Must Accommodate Work-Share Considerations

Solutions

- Engage all the stakeholders
  - Managers, contract personnel, schedulers and planners, controllers/business operations personnel
  - Engage these people early and often
  - Learn their concerns and keep them in mind while developing your architectures

- Architecture Development is a Two-Way Street!
  - Don’t let these “other” aspects be the sole driver for your architecture!
    - If you need to make a change that might upset the apple cart, do it!
    - Engage these stakeholders, get them on board with the change, help them become part of the solution
    - Don’t let things like work-share undermine your architecture

- Architecting is more than just arranging lines and boxes
  - Being a communicator, negotiator, and facilitator are very necessary!

Architecture is More Than Just Technology
Conclusions

- Architecture is more than just the structure, behavior and data in a system
- Managerial, Contractual, and Financial aspects of an architecture are equally important
- These "other" aspects can make or break your architecture unless you consider the “collateral damage”
- Engage all the stakeholders of your architecture, not just the technology people

Architecture is More Than Just Technology
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